Guide to preparing the notices:

- It's possible to address this process to both County and District Councils but not in the same document. Keep a separate file for each council CEO you are addressing.
- All text in red needs to be replaced, apart from that DO NOT change any other text.
- After personalising your document do a final read-through, then highlight the whole document and change the text to black.
- All envelopes must be headed with **PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL** at the centre top of the envelope.
- All letters must be sent by special delivery **Royal mail next day & signed for.**
- Photocopy your completed Notices and printout a copy of the proof of delivery, and keep safe because incomplete records will void your Lien.
- Note: The 7 day or 21 day notice periods start from the date the letter is signed for.
- It is essential to use a Notary Public as witness and signature on the two Affidavits. No other witnesses, be it 2/3 independent signatures or a Commissioner of Oaths etc is acceptable for a perfected Commercial Lien to be transacted.

Signature:

- Your Name in Upper-Case Lower-Case is written like this: Joan Williams
- YOUR NAME IN ALL UPPER-CASE is written like this: JOAN WILLIAMS

We use all upper-case letters here as this differentiates you, the living man/woman from your Birth Certificate or "Corporate name".

Important

Note:

Any response from the CEO that does not answer the questions in full can be disregarded.

Any response from any other individual or department is that of a third party interloper and can also be disregarded.

Your address

Hugh Stopnow (CEO) Your County/District Council REGISTERED OFFICE

.....

DATE

NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL

Dear Hugh Stopnow,

Re: Installation of 5G masts and changes being implemented to divide our community into 15/20 minute areas.

I hereby conditionally accept that infrastructure being installed in Your District/County will not be used to curtail my free movement and that non-ionizing microwave radiation, delivered via 5G masts and nodes will do me no harm, upon receipt of the following reasonably requested items:

1. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion that Your District/County Council have carried out due diligence, by providing the research they relied upon that proves there are no detrimental health effects of releasing non-ionizing microwave radiation into our community before permitting installations.

2. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that Your District/County Council have fully informed Your Locality residents of any dangers associated with non-ionizing microwave radiation delivered via 5G masts and nodes and gained their fully informed consent before permitting installations.

3. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that the division of our community into 15/20 minute zones, is not and will not impact our freedom of movement.

4. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that The Crown, the Government and Parliament are not constitutionally constrained by the Bill of Rights 1688 from doing anything that would **harm the people**.

5. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to demonstrate that Hugh Stopnow, in the capacity of Chief Administrator of Your District/County Council, has the authority to override the Bill of Rights 1688.

6. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that you, Hugh Stopnow, is not personally liable for any injury or death resulting from your actions.

Given the seriousness of the issues raised and the impact on my health, livelihood and freedom, please deliver these reasonably requested items within 7 days of your receipt of this notice at the mailing location provided herein.

In the event you fail to provide these reasonably requested items within the next 7 days, your failure will give rise to the lawful presumption that the evidence requested does not exist, and therefore the installation of non-ionizing microwave radiation delivery systems (5G) and changes to our infrastructure is entirely unlawful; and Hugh Stopnow is responsible and personally liable for multiple breaches of the Fraud Act 2006 and multiple breaches of the Bill of Rights 1688, without prejudice to any and all civil claims for damages.

With sincerity and honour,

By: Your Name in Upper-Case Lower-Case

Authorised Representative for YOUR NAME IN UPPER-CASE

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted – Strictly no rights of Usufruct

Your address

.....

Hugh Stopnow (CEO) Your County/District Council REGISTERED OFFICE

DATE

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT

Dear Hugh Stopnow,

Re: Installation of 5G masts and changes being implemented to divide our community into 15/20 minute areas.

Following Your District/County Councils dishonour of the NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE dated ______, I hereby serve NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE. Therefore, please provide me with the following items without delay:

1. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion that Your District/County Council have carried out due diligence, by providing the research they relied upon that proves there are no detrimental health effects of releasing non-ionizing microwave radiation into our community before permitting installations.

2. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that Your District/County Council have fully informed Your Locality residents of any dangers associated with non-ionizing microwave radiation delivered via 5G masts and nodes and gained their fully informed consent before permitting installations.

3. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that the division of our community into 15/20 minute zones, is not and will not impact our freedom of movement.

4. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that The Crown, the Government and Parliament are not constitutionally constrained by the Bill of Rights 1688 from doing anything that would **harm the people**.

5. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to demonstrate that Hugh Stopnow, in the capacity of our Chief Administrator, has the authority to override the Bill of Rights 1688.

6. Material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, that you, Hugh Stopnow, is not personally liable for any injury or death resulting from your actions.

In the event you fail to provide these reasonably requested items within the next 7 days, your failure will give rise to the lawful presumption that the evidence requested does not exist and therefore the installation of non-ionizing microwave radiation delivery systems (5G) and changes to our infrastructure is entirely unlawful; and Hugh Stopnow is responsible and personally liable for multiple breaches of the Fraud Act 2006 by failing to disclose the information, and multiple breaches of the Bill of Rights 1688, without prejudice to any and all civil claims for damages.

With sincerity and honour,

By: Upper-Case Lower-Case Authorised Representative for YOUR NAME UPPER-CASE

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted – Strictly no rights of Usufruct

Your address

.....

Hugh Stopnow (CEO) Your County/District Council REGISTERED OFFICE

DATE

NOTICE OF DISHONOUR

NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL

Dear Hugh Stopnow,

Re: Installation of 5G masts and changes being implemented to divide our community into 15/20 minute areas.

Following Your District/County Councils dishonour of the NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE dated ______ and the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE dated , I hereby serve NOTICE OF DISHONOUR.

For the avoidance of doubt, pursuant to the terms set forth in the aforementioned Notices, Your District/County Council and YOUR NAME IN UPPER-CASE are now in agreement that:

1. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that Your District/County Council have carried out due diligence, by providing the research they relied upon that proves there are no detrimental health effects from permitting the release of non-ionizing microwave radiation into our community, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

2. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that Your District/County Council fully informed Your locality residents of any dangers associated with non-ionizing microwave radiation delivered via 5G masts and nodes and gained their fully informed consent before permitting installations, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

3. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that the division of our community into 15/20 minute zones, is not and will not impact Lien Claimant's freedom of movement, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

4. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that The Crown, The Government and Parliament are not constitutionally constrained by the **Bill of Rights 1688** from doing anything that would **harm the people**, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

5. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that Hugh Stopnow, in the capacity of Chief Administrator of Your District/County Council, has the authority to override the **Bill of Rights 1688**, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

6. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that Hugh Stopnow, is not personally liable for damages resulting from their actions, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

With sincerity and honour, By: Your Name in Upper-Case Lower-Case

Authorised Representative for YOUR NAME IN UPPER-CASE All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

Your address

Hugh Stopnow (CEO) Your District/County Council REGISTERED OFFICE

.....

DATE

NOTICE OF SECURITY INTEREST NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT

Dear Hugh Stopnow,

Pursuant to the clearly expressed terms of	Your District/County Council dishonour of NOTICE OF	
CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE dated	, NOTICE OF	
OPPORTUNITY TO CURE dated	, and the NOTICE OF DISHONOUR	
served on, I here	reby serve NOTICE OF LIEN INTEREST.	
The LIEN DEBTOR has seven (7) days from service of this notice to raise any issues, disputes or		
counterclaims pertaining to this matter.		

With sincerity and honour,

By: Your name in Upper-Case Lower-Case

Authorised Representative for YOUR NAME IN UPPER-CASE All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

Affidavit of Obligation

Commercial Lien A Verified Plain Statement of Fact

The Parties:

Claimant: Your Name in Upper-Case Lower-Case Authorised Representative for: Your Name in all UPPER-CASE Your Mailing Location

.....

Hereinafter known as "Lien Claimant"

Respondent:

Hugh Stopnow (CEO) Your District/County Council REGISTERED OFFICE

Hereinafter known as "Lien Debtor"

The Laws of Commerce

All are equal under the law. See Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24:17-21; Deut. 1:17, 19:21; Matt. 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. Legal maxims: No one is above the law; Commerce, by the law of nations, ought to be common, and not to be converted into a monopoly and the private gain of a few.

In commerce, truth is sovereign. See Exodus 20:16; Psalms 117:2; John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8. Legal maxim: To lie is to go against the mind.

Truth is expressed in the form of an Affidavit. See Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13; Num. 30:2; Matt. 5:33; James 5:12.

An unrebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce. See 1 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15. Legal maxim: He, who does not deny, admits.

An unrebutted affidavit becomes a judgment in commerce. See Heb. 6:16-17. Any proceeding in court, tribunal or arbitration forum consists of a contest of commercial affidavits, wherein the points remaining unrebutted at the end of the contest stand as the truth to which the judgment of the law is applied.

He who leaves the field of battle first (does not respond appropriately to an Affidavit) loses by default. See Book of Job; Matt 10:22. Legal maxim: He who does not repel a wrong when he can occasions it.

Sacrifice is the measure of credibility. One who is not damaged, put at risk or willing to swear an oath or make an affirmation on his full commercial liability for the truth of his statements and the legitimacy of his actions, has no basis to assert claims or charges, and forfeits all credibility and right to claim the authority to do so. See Acts 7. Legal maxim: He who bears the burden ought also to derive the benefit.

A lien or claim, under commercial law, can only be satisfied by one of the following actions: A full rebuttal by an Affidavit of Truth, point-by-point, supported by evidence and sworn or affirmed at the same level of commercial risk; the satisfaction of the claimant, whether by payment or mutual agreement; resolution by a jury, in accordance with the rules of common law. See Gen. 2-3; Matt 4; Revelation. Legal maxim: If the plaintiff does not prove his case, the defendant is absolved A party injured by the fraud of another may claim triple damages, plus the principal. "And Zacchaeus stood, and said unto the Lord: Behold, Lord, the half of my goods I give to the poor, and if I have taken any thing from any man by false accusation, I restore him fourfold." Luke 19:8.

Bouvier's Maxims

Contra veritatem lex numquam aliquid permittit. The law never suffers anything contrary to truth. 2 Co. Inst. 252. But sometimes it allows a conclusive presumption in opposition to truth. See 3 Bouv. Inst. n. 3061.

Contractus ex turpi causa, vel contra bonos mores nullus est. A contract founded on a base and unlawful consideration, or against good morals, is null. Hob. 167; Dig. 2, 14, 27, 4.

Culpa lata aequiparatur dolo. A concealed fault is equal to a deceit.

Ei incumbit probatio qui dicit, non qui negat. The burden of the proof lies upon him who affirms, not he who denies. Dig. 22, 3, 2; Tait on Ev. 1; 1 Phil. Ev. 194; 1 Greenl. Ev. Sec. 74; 3 Louis. R. 83; 2 Dan. Pr. 408; 4 Bouv Inst. n. 4411.

Error qui non resistitur, approbatur. An error not resisted is approved. Doct. & Stud. c. 70.

Ex dolo malo non oritur action. Out of fraud no action arises. Cowper, 343; Broom's Max. 349. Ex facto jus oritur. Law arises out of fact; that is, its application must be to facts. Ex tota materia emergat resolution. The construction or resolution should arise out of the whole.

Ex tota materia emergat resolutio. The construction or resolution should arise out of the whole subject matter.

Fraus est celare fraudem. It is a fraud to conceal a fraud. 1 Vern. 270.

Fraus latet in generalibus. Fraud lies hid in general expressions.

Idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis. It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power. 3 Co. Inst. 178.

Incerta pro nullius habentur. Things uncertain are held for nothing. Dav. 33.

Incerta quantitas vitiat acium. An uncertain quantity vitiates the act. 1 Roll. R.

Invito beneficium non datur. No one is obliged to accept a benefit against his consent. Dig. 50, 17, 69. But if he does not dissent he will be considered as assenting. Vide Assent.

Judex damnatur cum nocens absolvitur. The judge is condemned when the guilty are acquitted. Judicium non suo judice datum nullius est momenti. A judgment given by an improper judge is of no moment. 11 Co. 76.

Manga negligentia culpa est, magna culpa dolus est. Gross negligence is a fault, gross fault is a fraud. Dig 50, 16, 226.

Magna culpa dolus est. Great neglect is equivalent to fraud. Dig. 50, 16, 226; 2 Spears, R. 256; 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 646.

Peccatum peccato addit qui culpae quam facit patrocinium defensionis adjungit. He adds one offence to another, who, when he commits a crime, joins to it the protection of a defence. 5 Co. 49. Quando do una et eadem re, duo onerabiles existunt, unus, pro insufficientia alterius, de integro onerabitur. When two persons are liable on a joint obligation, if one makes default the other must bear the whole. 2 Co. Inst. 277.

Qui non libere veritatem pronunciat, proditor est verilatis. He, who does not willingly speak the truth, is a betrayer of the truth.

Qui non obstat quod obstare potest facere videtur. He who does not prevent what he can seems to commit the thing. 2 Co. Inst. 146.

Qui non prohibit quod prohibere potest assentire videtur. He, who does not forbid what he can forbid, seems to assent. 2 Inst. 305.

Qui non propulsat injuriam quando potest, infert. He, who does not repel a wrong when he can, induces it. Jenk. Cent. 271.

Qui tacet consentire videtur. He who is silent appears to consent. Jenk. Cent. 32.

Reprobata pecunia liberat solventum. Money refused liberates the debtor. 9 Co. 79.

FRAUD ACT 2006

1 Fraud

(1) A person is guilty of fraud if he is in breach of any of the sections listed on subsection (2) (which provide for different ways of committing the offence).

(2) The sections are –

(a) section 2 (fraud by false representation),

(b) section 3 (fraud by failing to disclose information), and

(c) section 4 (fraud by abuse of position).

Private & International Law

UNIDROIT PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL CONTRACTS

Article 3.8 – Fraud

A party may avoid the contract when it has been led to conclude the contract by the other party's fraudulent representation, including language, practices, or fraudulent nondisclosure of circumstances which, according to reasonable standards of fair dealing, the latter party should have disclosed.

Article 5.1.3 – Cooperation between the parties

Each party shall cooperate with the other party when such co-operation may reasonably be expected for the performance of that party's obligations.

Article 7.3.4 – Adequate Assurance of Due Performance

A party who reasonably believes that there will be a fundamental non-performance by the other party may meanwhile withhold its performance. Where this assurance is not provided within a reasonable time the party demanding it may terminate the contract.

Article 7.4.1 – Right to damages

Any non-performance gives the aggrieved party a right to damages either exclusively or in conjunction with any other remedies except where the non-performance is excused under these principles.

Article 7.4.2 - Full compensation

(1) The aggrieved party is entitled to full compensation for harm sustained as a result of the nonperformance. Such harm includes both any loss which it suffered and any gain of which it was deprived, taking into account any gain to the aggrieved party resulting from its avoidance of cost or harm

(2) Such harm may be nonpecuniary and includes, for instance, physical suffering and emotional distress.

Allegations:

The following allegations arise from the conduct of Lien Debtor & the Agents of, indirectly and/or directly, in relation to an agreement between the parties, having regard to Lien Debtor's obligations to Lien Claimant in their capacity as Representative Administrator/s of Your District/County Council.

There is no material or substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that Lien debtor has carried out due diligence, by providing the research they relied upon that proves there are no detrimental health effects from permitting the release of non-ionizing microwave radiation into our community and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.
There is no material or substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that Lien debtor has fully informed Lien claimant, of any dangers associated with non-ionizing microwave radiation delivered via 5G masts and nodes and gained their fully informed consent before permitting installations, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.
There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that the division of our community into 15/20 minute zones, is not and will not impact Lien claimants freedom of movement, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.
There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that the Grown, the Government and Parliament are not constitutionally constrained by the Bill of Rights 1688 from doing anything that would harm the people, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

5. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to

suggest that Lien Debtor, in the capacity of our Chief Administrator of Your District/County Council, has the authority to override the **Bill of Rights 1688**, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

6. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion, to suggest that, Lien Debtor, is not personally liable for any injury or death resulting from your actions, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

7. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion to suggest that Lien Debtor, by their dishonour of Lien Claimant's NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE dated _______, as well as NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE dated _______, is not concealing material facts pertaining to the detrimental effects of non-ionizing microwave radiation and the planned constraints on Lien Claimants freedom of movement.

8. There is no material and substantive evidence, not mere hearsay or professional opinion to suggest that Lien Claimant has not already procured the tacit agreement of Lien Debtor that all of the allegations set forth in this Affidavit are factually correct, true and complete, and Lien Claimant believes that no such evidence exists.

LEDGERING

For the avoidance of doubt, this document is a security interest expressing the value of Lien Claimant's natural, equitable and legal rights over all the property, income and assets of Lien Debtor, to the value expressed within. Lien Claimant hereby charges this instrument in the sum of the Total Damages incurred. TOTAL LIEN VALUE: GBP \pounds ..., subject to additional default charges.

DEFAULT CONDITIONS

Lien Debtor is given 21 days to deliver to Lien Claimant material evidence in support of an appropriate point-for-point rebuttal under oath or affirmation of the foregoing allegations. Failure to repudiate or rebut with material evidence every allegation made will result in Lien Debtor becoming immediately liable for the payment of Total Damages. Triple Damages of [Total Damages, x3] will also be added to the debt if Lien Debtor's default is not cured. In the event that it is not cured within 90 days, Lien Debtor becomes liable for Exemplary Damages of [Total Damages, x 100] following NOTICE OF DEFAULT.

AFFIRMATION

I, Your Name in Upper-Case Lower-Case, Authorised Representative for YOUR NAME IN UPPER CASE (Lien Claimant), hereby affirm upon my own unlimited commercial liability and under penalty of perjury, that I have read all of the contents of this Affidavit of Obligation, and to the very best of my knowledge, I believe that the facts expressed herein are true, correct and complete.

Executed by: Your name in Upper-Case Lower -Case

Authorised Representative for **YOUR NAME IN UPPER-CASE** (Lien Claimant) All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

VERIFICATION

Affirmed, autog	graphed and sealed before me,	, on the	day of the
month of	, in the year two thousand and		

Notary Public: Notary Seal:

Notary Public's office location.

Signed & Sealed By:

NOTICE is hereby given that the Lien Debtor has twenty one (21) days after receipt of this Affidavit of Obligation to rebut, deny, or otherwise prove invalid the allegations contained herein. Failure to rebut, deny or otherwise disprove any of the allegations will be construed as Lien Debtors' affirmation that said allegations have been proven to be true, correct and complete. Void where prohibited by law.

Your address

Hugh Stopnow (CEO) Your District/County Council REGISTERED OFFICE

•••••

••••••

DATE

NOTICE OF FAULT & OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT

Dear Hugh Stopnow,

Therefore, I hereby serve NOTICE OF FAULT & OPPORTUNITY TO CURE. Hugh Stopnow has twenty one (21) days in which to deliver an appropriate and timely response. Triple damages now apply.

With sincerity and honour, By: Your name in Upper-Case Lower-Case

Authorised Representative for YOUR NAME IN UPPER-CASE

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

Your address

Hugh Stopnow (CEO) Your District/County Council REGISTERED OFFICE

.....

DATE

NOTICE OF DEFAULT NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT

Dear Hugh Stopnow,

Your District/County Council has failed to respo	nd to to the notarised AFFIDAVIT OF	
OBLIGATION dated	, and served by Royal Mail Recorded Delivery	
##################### on	, as well as NOTICE OF FAULT &	
OPPORTUNITY TO CURE dated	, and served by Royal Mail	
Recorded Delivery ####################################	,	
Therefore, I hereby serve NOTICE OF DEFAULT. Exemplary damages now apply.		

With sincerity and honour,

By: Your name in Upper-Case Lower-Case

Authorised Representative for YOUR NAME IN UPPER-CASE

All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Without Recourse – Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE & NON-RESPONSE

A Verified Plain Statement of the Facts

I, Your name in Upper-Case Lower-Case, an adult flesh and bloc	d man/woman of sound mind, do
state unequivocally that I served the following documents on Hu	gh Stopnow, CEO of Your
District/County Council (Respondent), by Royal Mail Recorded	Delivery:
1. NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE DATED	, ROYAL
MAIL RECORDED DELIVERY #################;	
2. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE DATED	, ROYAL MAIL
RECORDED DELIVERY ##################;	
3. NOTICE OF DISHONOUR DATED DATED	, ROYAL MAIL
RECORDED DELIVERY ##################;	
4. NOTICE OF LIEN INTEREST DATED	, ROYAL MAIL
RECORDED DELIVERY ##################;	
5. AFFIDAVIT OF OBLIGATION DATED	, ROYAL MAIL
RECORDED DELIVERY ##################;	
6. NOTICE OF FAULT & OPPORTUNITY TO CURE DATED),
ROYAL MAIL RECORDED DELIVERY ####################################	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. NOTICE OF DEFAULT DATED	ROYAL MAIL RECORDED
DELIVERY ####################################	
The Despendent has subsequently failed to deliver enprenniete as	d timely responses to any of the

The Respondent has subsequently failed to deliver appropriate and timely responses to any of the documents listed above.

AFFIRMATION

I hereby affirm and declare upon my own unlimited commercial liability and under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true, complete and correct, and not misleading.

By: Your Name in Upper-Case Lower-Case

Authorised Representative for YOUR NAME IN UPPER-CASE (Lien Claimant)

All Rights Reserved - Without Recourse - Non-Assumpsit Errors & Omissions Excepted

VERIFICATION

Affirmed, autographed and sealed before me, _____, on the _____day of the month of _____, in the year two thousand and ____.

NAME & ADDRESS OF NOTARY PUBLIC Seal:

Signed: _____

NOTICE is hereby given that the Respondent has three (3) days after receipt of this Affidavit of Service to rebut, deny, or otherwise prove invalid the statement of the facts contained herein. Failure to deliver an appropriate response within the stated time will comprise the tacit procuration of the Respondent's agreement that the contents of this Affidavit are true, correct and complete, and not misleading.